# Energy Savings Performance Contracting (ESPC) Sector Benchmark Sheet



# K-12 Schools ESPC Project Performance Benchmarks (All ASHRAE Zones)

The U.S. Department of Energy's Technical Assistance Team worked with Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and the National Association of Energy Service Companies (NAESCO) to develop this series of fact sheets to assist endusers in benchmarking energy efficiency upgrade costs and expected annual savings for municipal, state, federal government, and healthcare facilities, universities, colleges, and K–12 schools.

The values reported represent the range of project costs, savings, and economics for ESPC projects in the LBNL/NAESCO project database.

Energy savings performance contracting (ESPC) is a contracting and financing method that provides upfront financing for energy- and water-saving projects that is then repaid over time by the cost savings resulting from the upgrades.

## LBNL/NAESCO Project Database

The LBNL/NAESCO Project Database, funded by the Department of Energy, is the largest database of information about projects implemented by energy service companies (ESCOs) in the world, with more than 6,000 projects. The database includes information on project costs, savings, measures installed, facility physical characteristics, market segment, and location. Information for approximately 75% of the projects in the database is from NAESCO's voluntary accreditation program with information on the remaining projects provided by state and federal agencies that administer performance contracting programs.

#### **Definition of Performance Metrics**

This fact sheet reports three major performance metrics that can be used to benchmark proposed ESCO projects. Each performance metric is disaggregated and reported by major retrofit strategy (i.e., Major HVAC, Minor HVAC, Onsite Generation, or Other).

- Project Installation Costs (\$/ft²)—This metric represents turnkey project costs, which is the total cost to install the project. Also includes all costs related to design, construction, commissioning, and construction-period financing charges, but excludes long-term financing charges and effects of incentive payments.
- Annual Reported Savings (kBtu/ft², kWh/ft², kBtu/dollar invested, and % of baseline energy)—Savings are based on at least one year of actual (realized) savings and reported as (1) blended from all savings sources (kBtu)¹ and (2) electricity-only (kWh) savings. We also report project savings as a percent of a facility's total energy usage prior to the retrofit (i.e., measured baseline).
- Simple Payback Time (Years)—The project simple payback time is project installation costs—with no financing charges included—divided by the dollar value of annual energy and operations and maintenance (O&M) savings.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For projects with electricity savings, we assume site energy conversion (1 kWh = 3,412 Btu). We did not estimate avoided Btus from gallons of water conserved. In general, we followed the analytical approach documented in Larsen et al. (2012): Evolution of the U.S. Energy Service Company Industry: Market Size and Project Performance from 1990-2008, LBNL-5447E. https://emp.lbl.gov/publications/evolution-us-energy-service-company-0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Payback times quoted to the customer will be longer if financing costs are included.

Performance

Metric

Project

Installation

Costs

Maior

**HVAC**<sup>a</sup>

\$/ft<sup>2</sup>

\$20

\$15

### Interpreting the Performance **Metrics Charts**

- We report the 20th, 50th, and 80th percentile value for each of the performance metrics based on ESPC installations that occurred from 1996 to 2018 in the K-12 schools market. Each bar is bounded at the bottom by the 20th percentile and at the top by the 80th percentile. The numerical value listed in the bar chart is the 50th percentile (the median value for all projects in that group). The bars represent the range for these performance indicators for ESPC projects installed by ESCOs in all ASHRAE climate zones for a particular market segment.
- · Sample size information is indicated as follows—green bar color (greater than 30 projects); blue bar color (greater than 10 but less than 31 projects); and "n < 10" (no value reported because sample size is less than 10).
- · Annual reported savings appear as reported by ESCOs from measurement and verification (M&V) activities. ESCOs typically evaluate savings from projects using an accepted method from the International Performance and Verification (IPMVP) protocol: measures that provide savings across an entire building often use IPMVP Option C (Whole Facility) and measures that focus on a specific technology or piece of equipment typically use IPMVP Option A or B (Retrofit Isolation).<sup>3</sup>

K-12 Schools: Retrofit Strategy

n=761

Onsite

Generation<sup>c</sup>

\$8.4

Otherd

Minor

**HVAC**<sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Efficiency Valuation Organization, International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol: Concepts and Options for Determining Energy and Water Savings: Volume 1, September 2010. http://www.evo-world.org/



https://emp.lbl.gov/

#### Technologies include

- <sup>a</sup> Major HVAC equipment replacements (e.g., boilers, chillers, cooling towers), HVAC distribution improvements, and other control, lighting, and motors measures.
- <sup>b</sup> Less capital-intensive HVAC measures and controls plus lighting and other measures.
- <sup>c</sup> Onsite generation equipment with other energy effciency measures (e.g., lighting).
- d Domestic hot water, water conservation, other energy-efficient equipment and strategies such as vending machines, lighting, laundry/office equipment, refrigeration, industrial process improvements, staff training, and utility tariff negotiations.



For more information on the ESPC Toolkit and other ESPC resources for state and local governments, contact Alice Dasek, U.S. Department of Energy, at alice.dasek@ee.doe.gov. For federal agencies, contact the Federal Project Executive covering your region.

<sup>\$10</sup> (w/o financing \$3.9 \$5.6 \$3.9 charges) \$5 \$0 kBtu/ft<sup>2</sup> n=602 25 20 15 10 5 0 kWh/ft<sup>2</sup> n=673 5 4 3 2 Annual 0 Reported kBtu/\$ n=607 Savings 5 4 3 1.8 2 1 0 % of Baseline Energy n=544 40% 30% 19.1% 20% 10% 0% Years n=770 25 Simple 20 17.1 Pavback 15 10.3 Time 10 5 0 Sample size > 30 Sample size 10-30